

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/801,383	POLLOCK, DAVID C.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr McClung.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*claim 72*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*see Reason's for Allowance*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Cancel non-elected claim 72. Applicant renumbered the claims in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 in the amendment dated 19 Oct 2006. This amendment is considered to comply with 37 CFR 1.121.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 THOMAS M. LITHGOW  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 (Examiner/SP's Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)